

Non-symplectic involutions of a K3 surface

Xiao, Gang

Dépt. de Math., UNSA, 06108 Nice, France

xiao@aurora.unice.fr

Let S be a smooth minimal $K3$ surface defined over $\mathbb{C}$, G a finite group acting on S . The induced linear action of G on $H^0(\omega_S) \cong \mathbb{C}$ leads to an exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 1 ,$$

where the *non-symplectic part* N is a cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_m$, which acts on the intermediate quotient S/K which is also $K3$. It is well-known that the Euler number $\varphi(m)$ of m must divide $22 - \rho(S)$ ([N], Corollary 3.3), in particular $\varphi(m) \leq 21$, hence $m \leq 66$. It is also known that if H is non-trivial, then S is algebraic. In this case the quotient of S by the action of G is either an Enriques surface or a rational surface. An example of $m = 66$ has been constructed in [K], where Kondo also gets the uniqueness of the $K3$ surface with a non-symplectic action of $N \cong \mathbb{Z}_{66}$, under the extra condition that N acts trivially on the Néron-Severi group of the surface. (Note that the computation in [K] contains an error, so that the case $m = 44$ is missing in his final result; the existence of this case is shown in our computation which follows.)

The purpose of present article is to determine the $K3$ surfaces admitting a non-symplectic group N of high order. More precisely, we look at the cases

$$m = 38, 44, 48, 50, 54, 60, \text{ or } 66.$$

Theorem. 1. *There exists no $K3$ surface admitting a non-symplectic N of order 60.*

2. *For each of the other 6 cases of m as above, there is exactly one $K3$ surface S with $N \cong \mathbb{Z}_m$. The action of N is also unique (up to isomorphisms of S) except in the case of $m = 38$, in which case there are 2 different actions.*

§1. General considerations

We consider the following situation: let S be a $K3$ surface with a non-symplectic automorphism group $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_m$, i.e., no intermediate quotient of S by a subgroup of G is again $K3$.

Let $H \cong \mathbb{Z}_t$ be a subgroup of G , X the minimal resolution of singularities of the intermediate quotient S/H , and let $\alpha: \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$ be the minimal blow-up such that the induced map $\pi: \tilde{S} \rightarrow X$ is a morphism. Let B be the branch locus of π . There is a $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}$ on X , supported on B , such that $\alpha^*(K_S) \equiv \pi^*(K_X + \tilde{\mathfrak{B}})$. If $B = \sum_i \Gamma_i$ is the decomposition of B into irreducible components, we have $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}} = \frac{1}{t} \sum_i a_i \Gamma_i$, where the coefficient a_i is an integer with $0 \leq a_i < t$ (cf. [X]).

Lemma 1. *B does not contain negative definite configurations of (-2) -curves, therefore every component of B has positive coefficient in $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}$.*

Proof. As $\pi^*(K_X + \tilde{\mathfrak{B}})$ is nef, $K_X + \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}$ is also nef. Therefore the coefficients a_i/t of components in a negative definite (-2) -configuration $\Gamma = \sum_{i=1}^k \Gamma_i$ are equal to 0. Then according to [X], §1, Γ is the inverse image of a singular point on S/H , as the coefficients 0 are not of the form $1 - 1/n$ ($n \geq 2$). This means that Γ corresponds to an isolated fixed point p on S , for the action of H . Furthermore if K is the stabiliser of p , the linearisation of the action of K on $T_S(p)$ is of the form $\begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$, where ζ is a root of unity (cf. [BPV], §III.5). This action being locally symplectic, the action of K has to be symplectic on S , which contradicts the hypothesis.

For the second statement, we remark that [X], Lemma 4 is still true in our case, so we can use [X], Lemma 5. **QED**

Lemma 2. *Let $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_m$ be a group acting non-symplectically on a $K3$ surface S . If $m > 2$, the intermediate quotients of the action are all rational surfaces.*

Proof. An intermediate quotient X is an algebraic surface with $p_g = 0$, hence is either rational or Enriques. And a cyclic cover of S over an Enriques surface must be non-ramified due to the above lemma, hence of degree 2 as the π_1 of an Enriques surface is $\mathbb{Z}_2$. Therefore:

1. If m is odd, all the intermediate quotients are rational.
2. The quotient of a non-free action is rational.
3. If $m = 2n$ with n odd, let X be the intermediate quotient by $\mathbb{Z}_n$. Then the quotient group $\mathbb{Z}_2$ acts on X , having a fixed point p . The inverse image of p on S has to contain a fixed point of the action of the subgroup $\mathbb{Z}_2$, as the order of this inverse image is odd. Therefore the intermediate quotient of S by $\mathbb{Z}_2$ is rational.

4. If $m = 4$, let X be the intermediate quotient, Y the final quotient. If X is Enriques, the quotient $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -action on X cannot have fixed point, for otherwise the inverse image of such a fixed point on S has a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -stabiliser different than the first $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -subgroup, which implies $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^2$, impossible. However an Enriques surface does not allow fixed-point free involutions, as e.g. $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 1$ is not divisible by 2.

Now in the general case, a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_m$ is contained in a subgroup $\mathbb{Z}_k$ with either $k = 4$ or $k = 2n$ where n is odd. This proves the lemma because any quotient of a rational surface is rational. **QED**

Similarly, one shows

Lemma 3. *Let $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{n^2}$ acting non-symplectically on a K3 surface S where n is a prime, and let $H \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$ be the subgroup of G , $Q = G/H$, $X = S/H$. Let D be the branch locus of the projection $S \rightarrow X$. Then all the fixed points of the induced action of Q on X are located on D .*

Proof. Let p be such a fixed point. If it is not on D , its inverse image on S is composed of n points, therefore each of them has a stabiliser isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_n$ in G , different from H . This is impossible as G is cyclic. **QED**

Now let S be a K3 surface with a non-symplectic action of $G = \mathbb{Z}_m$ where $m > 2$ is even. Let X be the intermediate quotient of S by the unique $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -subgroup $\langle \iota \rangle$ of G . X is a smooth rational surface. Let B be the branch locus of the projection $\pi: S \rightarrow X$. B is a smooth divisor linearly equivalent to $-2K_X$. We have

$$10 - K_X^2 = \rho(X) \leq \rho(S) \leq 22 - \varphi(m) .$$

Let Q be the quotient of G by $\mathbb{Z}_2$, which acts naturally on X . B is invariant under this action.

Lemma 4. *If $X \cong \mathbb{P}^2$, then either $m \leq 30$, $m = 42$, or $m = 50$.*

Proof. B is a smooth sextic.

Note first that an action of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ on X always has a fixed point plus a fixed line, hence by Lemma 3, $m/2$ must be odd.

Let γ be a generator of Q . The action of γ on X has either a fixed point p and a line L composed of fixed points; or 3 fixed points p_1, p_2, p_3 .

In the first case, let H be a general line passing through p . H is invariant, and the action of Q on H has exactly 2 fixed points, namely p and $H \cap L$. But then the intersection $H \cap B$ has to be invariant; as $|H \cap B| = 6$ and Q is cyclic, we must have $|Q| \leq 5$.

For the second case, assume first that B meets each line L_i passing through p_i and p_{i+1} (letting $p_4 = p_1$) only on p_i and p_{i+1} . By the smoothness of B , this is possible only when, say, B is tangent to L_i to order 5 at p_i for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Consider the projection $f: B \rightarrow B/Q = C$. It is clear that f is ramified exactly at the 3 points p_i , hence by Hurwitz Formula, one gets $|Q| = 3, 7$ or 21 .

Finally, assume that $B \cap L_1$ contains a point other than p_1 and p_2 . Because the set $B \cap L_1$ is invariant under the action of Q , The subgroup H of Q fixing every point of L_1 is of index at most 5. Also $|H| \leq 5$ as in the first case, and we get the conclusion of the lemma. **QED**

Now assuming $\rho(X) > 1$, we have “ruling”s on X , i.e., a morphism $r: X \rightarrow C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ whose general fibres are isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$. The pull-back of r on S is an elliptic fibration.

By Hurwitz Formula, the induced cover $r|_B: B \rightarrow C$ has total ramification index $\delta \leq 24$.

Lemma 5. *Let σ be a non-symplectic automorphism in Q which fixes each fibre of a ruling $r: X \rightarrow C$. σ is either trivial or isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_3$. In the latter case B contains a section C_0 of r with $C_0^2 = -4$.*

Proof. Let K be the inverse image of $\langle \sigma \rangle$ in G . K acts on the inverse image E of a general fibre F of r , which is an elliptic curve. As K is cyclic and contains the elliptic involution, one must have $K = \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_4$ or $\mathbb{Z}_6$.

Moreover in the case of $\mathbb{Z}_4$, the two fixed points of σ on F must be contained in B . This implies a decomposition $B = B_1 + B_2$, with B_1 and B_2 both of degree 2 over C , and $B_1 B_2 = 0$. As $K_X^2 \geq 6$, one sees easily that this cannot happen, say, by contracting X into a Hirzebruch surface.

In the case of $\mathbb{Z}_6$, the existence of C_0 is due to the existence of a total fixed point for the action of K on E ; and $C_0^2 = -4$ is dictated by the condition $B \equiv -2K_X$. **QED**

Definition. Let $Y = \mathbb{F}_e$ be a Hirzebruch surface of invariant e with the ruling $r: Y \rightarrow C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, and let γ be an automorphism of finite order n on Y respecting r , such that its induced action on C is also of order n . Let F_1, F_2 be the two invariant fibres of r .

For any fixed point p of γ , define the *type* of p , τ_p , as follows. Choose local parameters $\{t, x\}$ of p , where x is vertical with respect to r , such that the action of γ diagonalizes: $\gamma(t) = \xi t$, $\gamma(x) = \xi^\alpha x$, where ξ is a primitive n -th root of unity, $0 \leq \alpha < n$. And define $\tau_p = \alpha$. Note that τ_p depends only on the action of the group $\langle \gamma \rangle$.

When $e > 0$, let C_0 be the section of negative self-intersection on Y ; when $e = 0$, we fix an invariant flat section to be C_0 . With respect to C_0 , we may define the *type* of F_i , τ_i , to be $\tau_{F_i \cap C_0}$.

Note that if p and q are two fixed points on a same fibre F_i , we have

$$\tau_p + \tau_q \equiv 0 \pmod{n}.$$

Lemma 6. $\tau_1 + \tau_2 + e \equiv 0 \pmod{n}.$

Proof. Let $p_i = F_i \cap C_0$, and let Y' be the surface resulting from an elementary transform centered at p_1 . As p_1 is fixed under γ , we have an induced action on Y' , for which the type of F_1 becomes $\tau_1 - 1$. This allows us to show the lemma only for the case $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = 0$, but in this case γ has no isolated fixed point, hence the quotient $Y / \langle \gamma \rangle$ is smooth Hirzebruch surface $\mathbb{F}_d$, so that $e = nd$. **QED**

Lemma 7. *Let X be a smooth rational surface with $K_X^2 > 0$, and let*

$$|F_1|, \dots, |F_n|$$

be n rulings with $F_i F_j = a$, $\forall i, j$. Then

$$K_X^2 \leq \frac{4n}{a(n-1)}.$$

Proof. Let $D = \frac{2}{a(n-1)} (F_1 + F_2 + \dots + F_{n-1})$. As $(K_X + D)F_n = 0$, we have

$$K_X^2 - \frac{4n}{a(n-1)} = (K_X + D)^2 \leq 0$$

by Hodge Index Theorem. **QED**

Lemma 8. *In the case where $\rho(X) > 1$ and $m = 38$ or $m \geq 44$, X has an equivariant ruling under the action of Q .*

Moreover, when $3|m$, the ruling is invariant under the subgroup of order 3.

Proof. When $3 \nmid m$ (hence $K_X^2 \geq 6$) or $\varphi(m) = 20$, the above Lemma 7 tells that the orbit of a ruling under Q has at most 2 elements, with fibres intersecting each other by 1. Hence the only possibility to exclude is that X contracts to a $X_0 \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, with the action of Q exchanging the two factors. As $|Q|$ is not divisible by 4, the subgroup H of order 2 of Q acts on X_0 by exchanging the factors. But then all the points on the diagonal D are fixed under H , hence D is contained in the image B_0 of B , but then $D(B_0 - B) = 6$, and we cannot blow up X_0 at most 2 times to make B smooth.

Therefore we can assume that there is an element σ of order 3 in Q . We first show that there is an equivariant ruling under $\langle \sigma \rangle$. To do so let $|F_1|, |F_2|, |F_3|$ be 3 rulings forming an orbit of $\langle \sigma \rangle$. Lemma 7 forces $F_i F_j = 1$ for $i \neq j$, hence there exists a

contraction $v: X \longrightarrow X_0 \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ such that the images of the pencil $|F_i|$ is a pencil of lines through a point p_i , for $i = 1, 2, 3$. The contraction v is unique when the points p_i are colinear; and there is exactly one other such contraction when the points are not colinear. In any case, there is a subgroup H of index ≤ 2 in G which has an induced action on X_0 .

Note that the action of σ on X_0 cannot fix a singular point of $B_0 = v(B)$, for otherwise the pull-back of the pencil of lines through such a point would give rise to an equivariant ruling for $\langle \sigma \rangle$. Therefore the number of singular points of B_0 is divisible by 3. As this number is at most 5, B_0 has to be smooth outside $\{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$, and $K_X^2 = 6$.

Let $K \subset H$ be the stabiliser of p_1 . As K fixes also p_2, p_3 as well as at least 3 fixed points of the action of σ on X_0 , the only way for K to have a non-trivial action on X_0 is that p_1, p_2, p_3 are on a same line L which is then fixed pointwise by K . As B_0 has either ordinary double point or ordinary cusp on p_i and $|K| > 2$, the local invariance of B_0 around p_i forces L to be a component of B_0 , which is impossible as $B_0(B_0 - L) = 5 > 3$.

So now we have a ruling $r: X \longrightarrow C$ which is equivariant under σ . When r is invariant, it is easy to see that it is equivariant under Q : indeed, let p be a general point in X , Σ the orbit of p under $\langle \sigma \rangle$, F the fibre containing p , and let $\gamma \in Q$. By the commutativity of Q , γ sends Σ to an orbit Σ' of $\langle \sigma \rangle$, which is contained in a fibre F' of r . Now if $\gamma(F) \neq F'$, we would have $\gamma(F)F \geq 3$ as $\Sigma' \subseteq F' \cap \gamma(F)$, which contradicts Lemma 7 (by taking $n = 2$).

It remains to exclude the case where r is equivariant but not invariant under σ . Let $\tilde{r}: S \longrightarrow C$ be the pull-back of r on S , $\tilde{\sigma}$ the element of order 3 in G whose image in Q is σ . In this case the fixed locus of $\tilde{\sigma}$ is contained in two fibres of $\tilde{r}$, hence is composed of e_1 isolated fixed points, e_2 rational curves of self-intersection -2 , plus possibly one or two elliptic curves. Let $\alpha: \hat{S} \longrightarrow S$ be the blow-up of the isolated fixed points of $\tilde{\sigma}$. Then the quotient $Y = \hat{S} / \langle \tilde{\sigma} \rangle$ is a smooth rational surface with $K_Y^2 = -(e_1 + 8e_2)/3$, $c_2(Y) = 8 + (5e_1 + 4e_2)/3$. Hence $e_1 - e_2 = 3$ as $K_Y^2 + c_2(Y) = 12$, but then

$$\rho(S) = \rho(\hat{S}) - e_1 \geq \rho(Y) - e_1 = 10 + (-2e_1 + 8e_2)/3 = 8 + 2e_2 \geq 8$$

which is excluded by our conditions. **QED**

The following remark is useful for the existence of the cases.

Lemma 9. *An automorphism γ on X lifts up to an automorphism on S if and only if $\gamma(B) = B$.*

Proof. The double cover $\pi: S \longrightarrow X$ is determined by an element $\delta \in \text{Pic}(X)$ such that $B \equiv 2\delta$. As X is simply connected, δ hence π is determined by B . **QED**

§2. The cases with $3|m$

We consider in this section the cases $m = 48, 54, 60, 66$. According to Lemma 8, we have a ruling $r: X \rightarrow C$ which is equivariant under Q , and such that the action of the subgroup $\langle \sigma \rangle$ of order 3 on X has a fixed locus composed of two sections C_0, C_1 , one of which, say C_0 , is a component of B .

There is a unique contraction $t_1: X \rightarrow X_1$ to a Hirzebruch surface X_1 with respect to r , such that the image of C_0 is still of self-intersection -4 . The action of σ descends to X_1 , with projection $t_2: X_1 \rightarrow X_2 = X_1 / \langle \sigma \rangle$, where $X_2 \cong \mathbb{F}_{12}$, and a ruling $r_2: X_2 \rightarrow C$ induced from r .

We have 3 sections C_2, C_3, C_4 of r_2 , with $-C_2^2 = C_3^3 = C_4^2 = 12$, such that $C_2 + C_3$ is the branch locus of t_2 , and $C_2 + C_4$ is the image of B . There is an induced action of $\bar{Q} = Q / \langle \sigma \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m/6}$ on X_2 , respecting r_2 . Let F_1, F_2 be the two invariant fibres of r_2 under this action, and let α_i be the number of intersection of C_3 and C_4 on F_i . Because $C_3 C_4 = 12$, we have clearly $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 12 - m/6$. Assume $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2$.

Let τ_i be as in the definition above Lemma 6, for the action of $\bar{Q}$ on X_2 . We have $\tau_i = m/6 - \alpha_i$ as C_2, C_3, C_4 are invariant curves. Let $p_i = C_3 \cap F_i$, $q_i = C_2 \cap F_i$. As in the proof of Lemma 6, after α_1 successive elementary transformations centered on p_1 and α_2 transformations centered on p_2 , we get a surface $X_3 \cong \mathbb{F}_{m/6}$ on which $\bar{Q}$ acts without isolated fixed point; Therefore the quotient $X_4 = X_3 / \bar{Q}$ is the Hirzebruch surface $\mathbb{F}_1$. Contracting the negative section of X_4 , we arrive at the projective plane on which the images of the ramification curves C_3, C_4, F_1, F_2 form four lines with normal crossings. Such a configuration being unique, the uniqueness of S for each m will be shown if we can show the uniqueness of the couple (α_1, α_2) for each m .

For $m = 66$, the unique possibility is $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = (0, 1)$; for $m = 60$, $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = (0, 2)$ or $(1, 1)$. $(0, 2)$ is impossible because the subgroup of order 2 in Q would contradict Lemma 3, as (the strict transform on X of) F_1 is clearly not in B . While in the case of $(1, 1)$, let $\tilde{\gamma}$ be an element of order 5 in G , γ the image of $\tilde{\gamma}$ in Q . The action of γ on $T_{X_2}(q_1)$ is by definition of the form $\begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ where ζ is a root of unity of order 5; but then the action of $\tilde{\gamma}$ on the inverse image of q_1 is also of the form $\begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ because $6 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$, which means that $\tilde{\gamma}$ is a symplectic automorphism. This shows the non-existence of $m = 60$.

For the same reason, the case $m = 54$ admits only $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = (1, 2)$ because $(0, 3)$ does not verify Lemma 3 with respect to the subgroup of order 3 in Q . And the case $m = 48$ admits only $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = (1, 3)$ by considering the subgroup of order 2 in Q .

Finally, the existence of the cases $48, 54, 66$ can be shown by reversing the above argument: take 2 fibres F'_1, F'_2 as well as 3 sections C'_2, C'_3, C'_4 on the Hirzebruch surface

$\mathbb{F}_1$, with $-C_2'^2 = C_3'^2 = C_4'^2 = 1$. Make a cyclic cover $X_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_1$ of order $m/6$ ramified along F_1' and F_2' , and note by F_1 , etc. the inverse image of F_1' , etc. Make α_i elementary transforms on $q_i = F_i \cap C_2$ for $i = 1, 2$ to get the surface X_2 , then a triple cover $t_2: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ ramified along C_2 and C_3 , and blow up the singularities of the inverse image of C_4 to get $t_1: X \rightarrow X_1$. It is easy to see that the map $X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{F}_1$ thus constructed is generically cyclic of order $m/2$, and we can use Lemma 9 to see that this cyclic action of order $m/2$ on X lifts to an automorphism group G of order m on S . It remains only to verify that G acts non-symplectically, for which it suffices to verify that every minimal subgroup of G acts non-symplectically, which can be done locally around a fixed point. Details of the verification are left to the reader.

§3. The remaining cases

The case $m = 50$:

We have shown in §1 that $X \cong \mathbb{P}^2$, and that the action of $Q = \langle \gamma \rangle$ is of the form $\gamma(x_0 : x_1 : x_2) = (\zeta x_0 : \zeta^{5\alpha+1} x_1 : x_2)$, where ζ is a primitive root of unity of order 25, and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$. Letting $p_1 = (1 : 0 : 0)$, $p_2 = (0 : 1 : 0)$, $p_3 = (0 : 0 : 1)$, B intersects $L_1 - \{p_1, p_2\}$ transversally at 5 points, hence it passes through, say, p_2 . As B cannot intersect L_2 and L_3 at points other than p_1, p_2, p_3 , we must have $B \cap L_3 = \{p_3\}$ with a tangent of order 6. Therefore a local computation at p_3 gives $\alpha = 1$. Also the intersection of B with L_3 shows that the equation of B contains the term X_0^6 , with $\gamma(X_0^6) = \zeta^6 X_0^6$. There are only two other monomials of degree 6 with the same character, namely $X_0 X_1^5$ and $X_1 X_2^5$. One concludes easily that modulo automorphisms of X , the equation of B is

$$X_0^6 + X_0 X_1^5 + X_1 X_2^5 = 0.$$

This proves the uniqueness as well as the existence in view of Lemma 9.

Passing to the total quotient, one sees that S is the smooth minimal model of a cyclic cover of $\mathbb{P}^2$ ramified along 4 lines of general position, with respective ramification indices 2, 5, 25, 50.

The case $m = 44$:

Let F_1, F_2 be the two invariant fibres of $r: X \rightarrow C$ under the action of Q . $r|_B$ has two ramifications on $F_1 + F_2$.

Note that if $r|_B$ has at most one ramification on a fibre F_i , then $B \cap F_i$ has at least 3 points, so $\tau_i = 0$ for the action of the subgroup $\mathbb{Z}_{11}$ of Q . This excludes the case where the two ramifications are distributed on the two invariant fibres, as in this case $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = e = 0$ for $\mathbb{Z}_{11}$, which is impossible because the horizontal degree of B is not a multiple of 11.

We may thus assume that B is tangent to F_1 of order 3 at a point p_1 . Then $11|\tau_2$ and $11 \nmid \tau_1$ for the action of Q , so $e > 0$. In fact the local invariance of B at p_1 gives $\tau_{p_1} = 15$, and Lemma 6 gives quickly $\tau_1 = 7$, $\tau_2 = 11$, $e = 4$, and then a disjoint decomposition $B = B_0 + C_0$ with B_0 smooth irreducible.

After 7 successive elementary transforms centered at $F_1 \cap C_0$ then 11 elementary transforms centered at the fixed point of F_2 not on C_0 , we get a surface $X_1 \cong \mathbb{F}_0$. Let $X_2 \cong \mathbb{F}_0$ be its quotient by Q , and let B_2, C_2, F_3, F_4 be respectively the images on X_2 of B_0, C_0, F_1, F_2 . B_2 is smooth of bidegree $(3, 1)$, totally tangent to F_3 and tangent to F_4 of order 2 at the point where the horizontal section C_2 passes through. Such a configuration being unique up to automorphisms of $\mathbb{F}_0$, we get the uniqueness of this case. And the existence is shown by reversing the arguments, as for the preceding cases. (To see that the action is non-symplectic, just note that as there is no symplectic automorphism of order 11, one has only to show that there is a cyclic subgroup of order 4; this can be done locally around a fixed point.)

S is birationally a cyclic cover of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ ramified along B_2, C_2, F_3, F_4 , with respective ramification indices 2, 2, 44, 44.

The case $m = 38$:

Choose a contraction $\sigma: X \longrightarrow X_0 \cong \mathbb{F}_e$ onto a Hirzebruch surface $r_0: X_0 \longrightarrow C$, and let B_0 be the image of B on X_0 , and F_1, F_2 the invariant fibres of r_0 . Let β_i be the number of ramifications of $r_0|_{B_0}$ on F_i . We have $\beta_1 + \beta_2 = 5$, and can assume $\beta_1 < \beta_2$.

For any fixed point p of the action of Q on X_0 , we have $\tau_p > 1$: indeed, otherwise as $e \leq 4$, after at most 6 elementary transforms, we get a surface $X' \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, such that the induced action of Q fixes one fibre pointwise. But then Lemma 6 says that it is the pull-back of an action on $\mathbb{P}^1$, hence the strict transform B' of B_0 on X' should have a horizontal degree divisible by 19, or $B'^2 \geq 152$. This is impossible because $B_0^2 = 32$ and each elementary transform increases the square by at most 16.

One sees from this remark that B_0 meets each F_i at at most 2 points, and that if B_0 have an ordinary double point, then one of the branches is tangent to the fibre. And a local computation of τ shows that B_0 cannot be tangent to F_1 at two points. Therefore $\beta_1 = 2$, and there is a point p_1 at which B_0 is tangent to F_1 of order 3, with $\tau_{p_1} = 13$. $B_0 \cap F_1$ contains another point q_1 of transversal intersection.

Now that $\beta_2 = 3$, one sees quickly that there are only two possibilities satisfying the above conditions: either $B_0 \cap F_2$ contains one point p_2 which is tangent of order 4, or $B_0 \cap F_2 = \{p_2, q_2\}$ where p_2 is an ordinary double point of B_0 with one branch tangent to F_2 .

In the first possibility, $\tau_{p_2} = 5$ and Lemma 6 leaves only one possibility $\tau_1 = 13$, $\tau_2 = 5$, $e = 1$, with the negative section C_0 passing through p_1 and p_2 .

After 6 successive elementary transforms centered on q_1 and 5 on p_2 then passing to quotient of Q , we get a $X_1 \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, with the image B_1 of B_0 which is smooth of bidegree $(4, 1)$, intersecting F_3 at two points with one transversal; and tangent to F_4 at one point of order 4, where F_3, F_4 are respectively the images of F_1, F_2 . Such a configuration being unique (it is the graph of a map $f: \mathbb{P}^1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ determined by a pencil generated by two divisors $4s_1$ and $3s_2 + s_3$, hence is unique modulo automorphisms of the first $\mathbb{P}^1$), we get the uniqueness as well as the existence of this case:

S is birationally a cyclic cover of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ ramified over B_1, F_3 and F_4 , with respective ramification indices 2, 19, 38.

In the second possibility, $\tau_{p_2} = 10$ so $\tau_{q_2} = 9$. And we can choose the contraction σ such that $e = 4$, and q_1, q_2 are on the negative section C_0 . This gives rise to a disjoint decomposition $B_0 = B'_0 + C_0$, and after elementary transforms centered on p_1 and q_2 then passing to the quotient, we get a $X_1 \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ with a same configuration as in the case $m = 44$, hence the uniqueness and the existence of this case.

Remark. It is easy to see that the $K3$ surface S in the two cases of $m = 38$ are the same, by analysing the elliptic fibration induced by r . The two different actions arise from the choice of the involution.

References

- [BPV] Barth, W. / Peters, C. / Van de Ven, A.: Compact Complex Surfaces, Springer 1984
- [K] Kondo, S.: On automorphisms of algebraic $K3$ surfaces which act trivially on Picard groups, Proc. Japan Acad. 62 (A), 356-359 (1986)
- [N] Nikulin, V. V.: Finite automorphism groups of Kähler $K3$ surfaces, Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. 38, 71-137 (1980)
- [X] Xiao, G.: Bound of automorphisms of surfaces of general type I, Annals of Math. 139, 51-77 (1994)